

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/613,685	07/03/2003	Daniel A. Norrick	8016-576	7310
7590 07/29/2004			EXAMINER	
Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLP			MCMAHON, MARGUERITE J	
Bank One Cente		•		
Suite 3700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
111 Monument Circle			3747	
Indiananolis IN	V 46204-5137			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	i	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/613,685	NORRICK, DANIEL A.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Marguerite J. McMahon	3747				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE - External after - If the control of the contro	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	•						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-25</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) 2,4,7 and 8 is/are objected to.						
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Attachmen							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ॑ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/3/03</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Criddle et al. Criddle et el show everything except the specific disclosure of an air cleaner upstream of the first compressor 20. It would have been obvious if not inherent that an air cleaner would be utilized. This is conventional, and it is doubtful that the engine would function properly without it.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Criddle et al. Criddle et al show everything except the specific type of compressor utilized. Note that the various types of compressors cited in the above claims are art recognized alternatives known for the same purpose, and do not constitute a patentable distinction over the Criddle et al reference.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,685

Art Unit: 3747

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 5, 7, and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 9-25 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the air cleaner of Enright (5,499,616).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marguerite J. McMahon whose telephone number is 703-308-1956. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yuen Henry can be reached on 703-308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MARGUERITE MCMAHON
PRIMARY EXAMINER